arXiv:cond-mat/0703767v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 28 Mar 2007

# Anomalous scaling and gapless fermions of $d$-wave superconductors in magnetic field

Oskar Vafek[1]

[1]*National High Magnetic Field Laboratory and Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA*

(Dated: February 5, 2008)

The problem of $d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave quasiparticles in a weakly disordered Abrikosov vortex lattice is studied. Starting with a periodic lattice, the topological structure of the magnetic crystal momenta of gapless fermions is found for the particle-hole symmetric case. If in addition the site centered inversion symmetry is present, both the location and the number of the gapless fermions can be determined using an index theorem. In the case of spatially aperiodic vortex array, Simon and Lee scaling is found to be violated due to a quantum anomaly. The electronic density of states is found to scale with the root-mean-square vortex displacement as $\sqrt{H}f(\mathbf{u}^2_{rms}/\xi^2)$, while thermal conductivity is $H$-independent, but *different* from the $H=0$ case.

Theoretically, it is well established that the motion of the low energy fermionic quasiparticles in the Abrikosov vortex state of $d-$wave superconductors is determined by the combination of two effects: the so-called Doppler shift[1] and the $Z_2$ Berry phase[2]. The former arises from the coupling of the quasiparticle charge current to the superfluid velocity and has a classical analog, while the latter is purely quantum and corresponds to an extra $\pi$ Aharonov-Bohm phase accumulated by the quasiparticle (qp) wavefunction upon encircling a vortex. Semiclassical analysis of the Doppler shift coupling lead Volovik [1] to the conclusion that the original nodal spectrum of a $d$-wave superconductor should be filled upon the application of the external magnetic field, $H$, resulting in the finite density of states at zero energy increasing as $\sqrt{H}$. Experimental data on, for example, specific heat and thermal conductivity have been largely interpreted in this way.

However, the relatively recent experiments on the ultraclean YBCO[3] have failed to detect the $\sqrt{H}$ dependence of the qp contribution to the low temperature thermal conductivity $\kappa_{xx}$. Instead, in the sub Kelvin temperature regime $\kappa_{xx}/T$ approaches a value which is essentially $H$ independent[3]. This is unlike the less pure YBCO samples where the said $\sqrt{H}$ dependence was observed. It is worth stressing that the qp mean free path, which has been estimated in Ref.[4], exceeds the magnetic length for the $H$ fields above 1Tesla by several orders of magnitude. This suggests that, in the ultraclean samples, the dominant qp scattering in the Abrikosov state comes from the *positional vortex disorder*, rather than from the impurity scattering. This in turn implies, that the quantum effects play an important role.

In this work I suggest a theoretical approach to calculate the scattering rate due to the weak positional vortex disorder as well as the resulting thermal conductivity. The key idea is to *exactly* solve the periodic lattice problem for a vortex lattice with a site centered inversion symmetry and a particle-hole symmetry, along the lines of Ref.[5], and then use the symmetry of the resulting nodal qp wavefunctions to find the effects of various perturbations. In this way one can analyze quite rigorously the effects of weak positional vortex disorder. The new finding is that the coupling of the small displacement $\mathbf{u_R}$ of a vortex at $\mathbf{R}$ to the *new* vortex lattice nodal quasiparticles[5] can be thought of as a coupling to a small vortex-antivortex dipole. Due to the anomalous $r^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ increase of the exact qp wavefunctions near a vortex[6, 7], this coupling is anomalously large and enters the effective Hamiltonian via the dimensionless ratio $\mathbf{u_R}/\xi$ where $\xi$ is a short distance cutoff of the order of the core radius, rather than via $\mathbf{u_R}/\ell$ as suggested by the scaling argument[8, 9]. Weakly disordered vortex lattice is then viewed as a collection of small vortex-antivortex dipoles with random magnitude and random orientation whose effect on the new nodal qp can be analyzed within the self-consistent Born approximation. The resulting vortex lattice disorder-induced density of states is found to be finite at zero energy, with an anomalous dependence on the root-mean-square fluctuation of the vortex displacement $\mathbf{u}_{rms}$, scaling as $\sqrt{H}f(\mathbf{u}^2_{rms}/\xi^2)$, which is *parametrically larger* than in the absence of the anomaly. For small $\mathbf{u}_{rms}$, the low temperature thermal conductivity is found to be very weakly $H$-dependent, in qualitative agreement with the experimental findings of Ref.[3].

The starting point is the lattice Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) eigenequation[10]

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0\psi_{\mathbf{r}} = E_n\psi_{\mathbf{r}}. \tag{1}$$

where the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ acts on the two component Nambu spinor $\psi_{\mathbf{r}} = [u_{\mathbf{r}}, v_{\mathbf{r}}]^T$ and has the following explicit form

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{r}} - \mu & \hat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{r}} \\ \hat{\Delta}^*_{\mathbf{r}} & -\hat{\mathcal{E}}^*_{\mathbf{r}} + \mu \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Both $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\hat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{r}}$ are defined through their action on a lattice function $f_{\mathbf{r}}$ as

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{r}} f_{\mathbf{r}} = -t \sum_{\delta=\pm\hat{\mathbf{x}},\pm\hat{\mathbf{y}}} e^{-i\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}+\delta}} f_{\mathbf{r}+\delta}, \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{r}} f_{\mathbf{r}} = \Delta_0 \sum_{\delta=\pm\hat{\mathbf{x}},\pm\hat{\mathbf{y}}} e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}+\delta}} \eta_\delta f_{\mathbf{r}+\delta}. \tag{4}$$

In the symmetric gauge, the magnetic flux $\Phi$ through an elementary plaquette enters the Peierls factor via $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}+\hat{x}} = -\pi y\Phi/\phi_0$, $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}+\hat{y}} = \pi x\Phi/\phi_0$; the electronic flux quantum is $\phi_0 = hc/e$. The $d$-wave symmetry is encoded in $\eta_\delta = +(-)$ if $\delta \parallel \hat{\mathbf{x}}(\hat{\mathbf{y}})$. Orthorhombic distortions can be modeled by making horizontal bonds slightly different from the vertical ones, none of which will change the key results. The main topological feature of $\theta_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}$ is its $2\pi$ winding around the magnetic field induced vortices. The initial Ansatz[5] for the pair phases is

$$e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}} \equiv (e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{r}}} + e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{r}'}})/|e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{r}}} + e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{r}'}}|, \tag{5}$$

where $\nabla \times \nabla\phi(\mathbf{r}) = 2\pi\hat{\mathbf{z}}\sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)$ and $\nabla\cdot\nabla\phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ where $\mathbf{r}_i$ denotes the vortex positions.

Connecting pairs of vortices by branch cuts[5], we can define the singular gauge transformation[2, 5] $\mathcal{U} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma_3\phi_{\mathbf{r}}}$ where the Pauli sigma matrices act on the Nambu spinors. The transformed Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\,\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0\,\mathcal{U}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$ becomes

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) = \sigma_3\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) - \mu\right) + \sigma_1\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}), \tag{6}$$

where the transformed lattice operators satisfy

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k})\psi_{\mathbf{r}} = -t\sum_{\delta=\pm\hat{\mathbf{x}},\pm\hat{\mathbf{y}}} z_{2,\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}+\delta}\times e^{i\sigma_3 V_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}+\delta}}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\delta}\psi_{\mathbf{r}+\delta} \tag{7}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k})\psi_{\mathbf{r}} = \Delta_0\sum_{\delta=\pm\hat{\mathbf{x}},\pm\hat{\mathbf{y}}} z_{2,\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}+\delta}\times\eta_\delta e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\delta}\psi_{\mathbf{r}+\delta}. \tag{8}$$

The physical superfluid velocity enters via the factor

$$e^{iV_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}} = \frac{1+e^{i(\phi_{\mathbf{r}'}-\phi_{\mathbf{r}})}}{|1+e^{i(\phi_{\mathbf{r}'}-\phi_{\mathbf{r}})}|}e^{-i\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}} \tag{9}$$

and represents the lattice analog of the semiclassical (Doppler) effect. The $Z_2$ field $z_{2,\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'} = 1$ on each bond except the ones crossing the branch cut where $z_{2,\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'} = -1$.

If the vortices form a regular lattice, the transformed Hamiltonian (6) is invariant under discrete translations by the primitive vectors $\mathbf{R}_1$ and $\mathbf{R}_2$ defining the magnetic unit cell, reflecting the periodicity of $V_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}$ and the periodic choice of the branch cuts. Consequently, it can be diagonalized in the Bloch basis. By the Bloch condition $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})$ acts on the periodic functions, and the crystal wavevector $\mathbf{k}$ varies continuously within the $1^{st}$ Brillouin zone defined by the primitive reciprocal lattice vectors $\mathbf{K}_1 = 2\pi\frac{\mathbf{R}_2\times\hat{\mathbf{z}}}{\hat{\mathbf{z}}\cdot(\mathbf{R}_1\times\mathbf{R}_2)}$, $\mathbf{K}_2 = 2\pi\frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}}\times\mathbf{R}_1}{\hat{\mathbf{z}}\cdot(\mathbf{R}_1\times\mathbf{R}_2)}$.

Note that, since the unitary transformation $\mathcal{U}$ is time independent, the Hamiltonians (2) and (6) have the same thermodynamic and tunneling density of states.

In presence of the particle-hole symmetry ($\mu = 0$), the Hamiltonian (6), which is a $2n-$dimensional Hermitian matrix, connects only sites belonging to different sublattices and can be transformed into the form

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \mathcal{T}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Following Wen and Zee[11, 12] (see also Ref.[13]), at all points $\mathbf{k}$ where the determinant of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})$ does not vanish, we can define

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})}{|\det\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})|^{\frac{1}{2n}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h(\mathbf{k}) \\ h^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

where $|\det h(\mathbf{k})| = 1$. Therefore, if the phase of $\det h(\mathbf{k})$ winds by a nonzero integer multiple of $2\pi$ around a loop in $\mathbf{k}$-space, then $\det h(\mathbf{k}^*) = 0$ for some $\mathbf{k}^*$ inside the loop and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}^*)$ has zero eigenvalues. The associated winding number $m$, can be calculated from

$$m = \frac{1}{2\pi}\oint d\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{a} \tag{12}$$

where the $\mathbf{k}$-space vector field

$$a_\mu(\mathbf{k}) = -i\partial_{k_\mu}\ln\det(h(\mathbf{k})) = -\frac{i}{2}Tr\left[\gamma_5\mathcal{M}^{-1}\partial_{k_\mu}\mathcal{M}\right] \tag{13}$$

Since $\sigma_2\mathcal{H}^*(\mathbf{k})\sigma_2 = -\mathcal{H}(-\mathbf{k})$, we find that the vector field is even under $\mathbf{k}$-space inversion, $a_\mu(\mathbf{k}) = a_\mu(-\mathbf{k})$. Therefore the circulation of $\mathbf{a}$ vanishes at four $\mathbf{k}$ points: $\mathbf{k}^* = \{0, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_1, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_2, \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{K}_1+\mathbf{K}_2)\}$. We thus arrive at a rather general result, namely *if there are zero energy eigenstates at any of these four points, they must appear in degenerate pairs with opposite $m$, thereby canceling the circulation of* $\mathbf{a}$. Such situation is generally unstable to either nucleation of $m = +1$ and $m = -1$ pairs with two massless Dirac fermions, or a vacuum of $\mathbf{k}$-space vortices implying a spectral gap.

To proceed with a specific example, consider vortex lattice with two vortices per magnetic unit cell respecting site centered inversion symmetry. The space inversion symmetry is realized as a projective symmetry and the invariant gauge group[12] is $Z_2$, i.e. ordinary space inversions $\mathcal{I}$ must be followed by $Z_2$ gauge transformations $\gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$ [5]. By constructing an operator $\mathcal{P} = (-1)^{x+y}\gamma_{\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{I}$ which anticommutes with $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})$ at the four $\mathbf{k}^*$ points, one can show that the spectrum is gapless at these points with 2 degenerate Dirac cones at each $\mathbf{k}^*$ giving the total of 8 massless Dirac fermions in the $1^{st}$ Brillouin zone [5].

What happens if the site centered inversion symmetry is broken? According to the above general classification, either the spectrum is gapped, or the $\mathbf{k}$-space vortex-antivortex pairs are nucleated resulting in two massless Dirac fermions. As shown below, the latter case is realized for weak breaking of the site centered inversion symmetry.

Without loss of generality, let us concentrate on $\mathcal{H}(0)$. Since $\mathcal{H}(0)\mathcal{P} = -\mathcal{P}\mathcal{H}(0)$ and since $Tr\mathcal{P} = 4$, the four zero energy eigenstates of $\mathcal{H}(0)$ are also eigenstates of $\mathcal{P}$ with the same eigenvalue[5] of $+1$. It is also straightforward to see that two of them can be chosen to be even under the projective inversion $\gamma_{\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{I}$ and the remaining two to be odd. The nullspace basis is then

$$\mathcal{A}: \{|1\rangle, |2\rangle = i\sigma_2|1\rangle^*\}\ldots even \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{B}: \{|3\rangle, |4\rangle = i\sigma_2|3\rangle^*\}\ldots odd, \tag{15}$$

where the first set is even under $\gamma_{\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{I}$ and the second one is odd. Since these states are $+1$ eigenstates of $\mathcal{P}$, they are also eigenstates of $(-1)^{x+y}$, with the eigenvalue $+1$ for the even ones and $-1$ for the odd ones. This means that states which belong to the representation $\mathcal{A}$ are non-zero only on one sublattice, while the states which belong to the representation $\mathcal{B}$ are non-zero on the complementary one.

The dispersion of the energy near the degenerate quadruplet can be found within degenerate perturbation theory. To the first order, the low energy effective Hamiltonian is given by the nullspace matrix elements

$$\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{mn}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2) = \langle m\,|\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2)|\,n\rangle\,, \tag{16}$$

where we explicitly included the dependence of the Hamiltonian on $\mathbf{u}_j$'s which denote the possible small displacements of the positions of the two vortices within the magnetic unit cell. Due to its particle hole symmetry, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2)$ cannot mix states with the same parity and therefore $\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{mn}$ is block off-diagonal with the structure (10). By using $\sigma_2\mathcal{H}^*(\mathbf{k})\sigma_2 = -\mathcal{H}(-\mathbf{k})$, one can show that $\mathcal{H}^{*eff}_{13}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2) = -\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{24}(-\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{*eff}_{14}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2) = \mathcal{H}^{eff}_{23}(-\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2)$. In addition, the projective inversion $\gamma_{\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{I}$ guarantees that

$$\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{mn}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2) = -\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{mn}(-\mathbf{k};-\mathbf{u}_2,-\mathbf{u}_1). \tag{17}$$

Clearly, for $\mathbf{u}_j = 0$ we have two degenerate gapless Dirac fermions at $\mathbf{k} = 0$ and vanishing circulation of $\mathbf{a}$ (12) as required by the above argument.

For finite $\mathbf{u}_j$ the zero energy states exist provided that

$$\det\mathcal{H}^{eff}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2) = 0 \tag{18}$$

Due to (17), we can expand near $\mathbf{k} = 0$, $\mathbf{u}_j = 0$ to find $\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{13}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2) = k_\mu A_\mu + u_{j\mu}a^j_\mu$ and $\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{14}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2) = k_\mu B_\mu + u_{j\mu}b^j_\mu$. Note that $a^1_\mu = a^2_\mu = a_\mu$ and $b^1_\mu = b^2_\mu = b_\mu$. There are two solutions to the Eq.(18), at

$$k^{(c)}_\mu = \pm\sqrt{\frac{|u_{i\alpha}a^i_\alpha|^2 + |u_{i\alpha}b^i_\alpha|^2}{|A_\alpha\Lambda^i_{\alpha\beta}u_{i\beta}|^2 + |B_\alpha\Lambda^i_{\alpha\beta}u_{i\beta}|^2}}\Lambda^j_{\mu\nu}u_{j\nu},$$

where $\Lambda^j_{\mu\nu} = 2\varepsilon_{\mu\lambda}\Im m\left[A_\lambda a^{j\,*}_\nu + B_\lambda b^{j\,*}_\nu\right]$ is independent of $\mathbf{u}_j$. We see that small vortex displacements induce a $\mathbf{k}$-space vortex-antivortex pair, resulting in a pair of gapless fermions near each $\mathbf{k}^*$. By going to the second order degenerate perturbation theory, I have checked that the character of the solution does not change. *This is a result of the general topological structure of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})$: the spectrum is gapless and the number of the Dirac fermions is invariant under a finite range of periodic perturbations which can break site centered inversion, but do not break particle-hole symmetry.* In other words, any p-h symmetric perturbation, such as supercurrent variations and bond or pair density waves, must be sufficiently strong to cause the annihilation of the $\mathbf{k}$-space vortex-antivortex pair.

We now turn to the analysis of the magnetic field dependence of the effective Hamiltonian (16). According to the scaling argument of Simon and Lee[8], since the vortex displacement $\mathbf{u}_j$ has a dimension of length, in the limit of large $\ell$ we should have[9]

$$\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{mn}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_j;\ell) = \frac{1}{\ell}\mathcal{F}^{(SL)}_{mn}\left(\ell\mathbf{k};\frac{\mathbf{u}_j}{\ell}\right)$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{(SL)}$ is the scaling function. As a result the effective velocity of the gapless Dirac fermions should be $\ell$ independent, and in addition, the vortex displacement fields $\mathbf{u}_j$ should enter the effective Hamiltonian as $\mathbf{u}_j/\ell^2$, making $a^j_\mu \sim b^j_\mu \sim \ell^{-2}$.

Remarkably, explicit numerical evaluation of the matrix elements (16) shows that while the effective velocity is indeed $\ell$-independent[5], the vortex displacements coupling to the gapless fermions is much stronger and instead $a^j_\mu \sim b^j_\mu \sim \ell^{-1}$. The correct scaling form is rather

$$\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{mn}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{u}_j;\ell) = \frac{1}{\ell}\mathcal{F}^{(anom)}_{mn}\left(\ell\mathbf{k};\frac{\mathbf{u}_j}{\xi_0}\right) \tag{19}$$

where $\xi_0$ is a short distance cutoff of the order of the vortex core radius. This is a consequence of a quantum anomaly[14, 15] which arises from two effects: first, due to the $1/r$ increase of the superfluid velocity near a vortex, the extended quasiparticle wavefunctions experience an anomalous increase[7, 16, 17] $\sim 1/\sqrt{r\ell}$ cut off only by a short distance scale $\xi_0$. Second, the potential due to the displaced vortex, as experienced by the nodal quasiparticle of the unperturbed vortex lattice, is equivalent to the potential due to vortex-antivortex "dipole". At distances much larger than $|\mathbf{u}_j|$, this "dipole" potential falls off as $\sim 1/r^2$. The resulting matrix element scales as $|\mathbf{u}_j|/(\ell\xi_0)$, rather than $|\mathbf{u}_j|/\ell^2$. The scale invariance of the linearized Dirac equation[8] is thus broken and just as in the theory of critical phenomena, the short distance cutoff effects long distance properties via an anomalous dimension. In the case at hand, the anomalous dimension of $\mathbf{u}_j$ is effectively 1.

In the weakly disordered vortex lattice, the disorder-free vortex lattice nodal quasiparticles experience a random potential produced by the vortex dipoles with random orientation and strength. The vortex displacements $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}_j}$, corresponding to the vortex position $\mathbf{R}_j$, can be assumed to be uncorrelated

$$\langle u_{\alpha\mathbf{R}_i}u_{\beta\mathbf{R}_j}\rangle = \mathbf{u}^2_{rms}\delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{20}$$

The effective Hamiltonian near $\mathbf{k} = 0$ is $\mathcal{H}^{eff}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} =$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}k_\mu\begin{pmatrix}0 & v_\mu\\ v^\dagger_\mu & 0\end{pmatrix} + \frac{\ell^2}{L^2}\sum_j u_{\mathbf{R}_j\mu}e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\cdot\mathbf{R}_j}\begin{pmatrix}0 & \lambda_\mu\\ \lambda^\dagger_\mu & 0\end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

where $v_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} A_\mu & B_\mu \\ -B_\mu^* & A_\mu^* \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} a_\mu & b_\mu \\ b_\mu^* & -a_\mu^* \end{pmatrix}$, and the sum is over the vortex positions. $L^2$ is the area of the system, and just as before, $a_\mu \sim b_\mu \sim \ell^{-1}$.

Near $\mathbf{k} = 0$, we can treat the vortex-antivortex dipole scattering within the self-consistent Born approximation and the quasiparticle (retarded) self-energy

$$\Sigma(\omega) = 2\mathbf{u}_{rms}^2 \left[|a|^2 + |b|^2\right] \ell^2 \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{q}}^{(0)}(\omega - \Sigma(\omega)) \quad (22)$$

where the integral is over the magnetic Brillouin zone, and $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = \sum_\mu (|a_\mu|^2 + |b_\mu|^2)$; $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{q}}^{(0)}$ is the quasiparticle Greens function for the disorder free vortex lattice.

We find the vortex disorder scattering rate, $\tau_v^{-1}$, from the imaginary part of the retarded self-energy to be

$$\frac{1}{\tau_v} = -\Im m \Sigma(0) \approx \frac{\pi \sqrt{v_\parallel v_\perp}}{\ell} \exp\left\{ \frac{-\pi v_\parallel v_\perp}{\ell^2 (|a|^2 + |b|^2) \mathbf{u}_{rms}^2} \right\} \quad (23)$$

where $v_{\parallel,\perp}$ are the velocities of the dispersion of the (disorder-free) vortex lattice Dirac quasiparticles along the principal axes. Note, that due to the quantum anomaly, the scattering rate has the scaling form

$$\tau_v^{-1} = \sqrt{H}\, \Gamma\left( \frac{\mathbf{u}_{rms}^2}{\xi_0^2} \right).$$

This should be contrasted with the result obtained from the naive scaling argument $\tau_{(SL)}^{-1} = \sqrt{H}\Gamma\left(\mathbf{u}_{rms}^2 H/\Phi_0\right)$, which is parametrically smaller than (23).

The contribution to the zero energy density of states from the vicinity of $\mathbf{k} = 0$ is therefore

$$N_{\mathbf{k}=0}(0) = \frac{2}{\pi^2 v_\perp v_\parallel \tau_v} \ln\left(\pi \tau_v \sqrt{v_\parallel v_\perp} \ell^{-1}\right)$$

Upon summing the contribution from all four nodes, we find that that the vortex disorder induced density of states has a scaling form $N(0) = \sqrt{H}\rho(\mathbf{u}_{rms}^2/\xi^2)$.

Since the vortex disorder induced self-energy was argued to be given by the self-consistent Born approximation, in order to find the electronic contribution to the thermal conductivity $\kappa_{xx}$ we need to calculate the vertex correction by summing the ladder diagrams. In the limit of small $\mathbf{u}_{rms}$ we find

$$\frac{\kappa_{xx}}{T} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{k_B^2}{\hbar} \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left[ \frac{v_\parallel}{v_\perp} + \frac{v_\perp}{v_\parallel} \right] \beta_V, \quad (24)$$

where $\beta_V = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u}_{rms}^4) \gtrsim 1$.

The above result is independent of magnetic field and depends only on the vortex lattice renormalized Dirac cone anisotropy. The extra factor of 2 relative to Ref.[18] comes from the node doubling [5].

In conclusion, I showed that weak vortex lattice disorder exhibits an anomalously strong coupling to the low energy fermions, which violates Simon-Lee scaling in a novel way. The resulting vortex disorder induced density of states was calculated for weak disorder and found to scale as $\sqrt{H} f(\mathbf{u}_{rms}^2/\xi^2)$. The electronic contribution to $\kappa_{xx}/T$ was found to be magnetic field independent, but different from its $H = 0$ value. The latter can be understood to result from the cancelation of the $H$-dependence of the vortex disorder induced density of states and the mean free path, which is set by the intervortex separation in this ultraclean limit. This result is in qualitative agreement with the experimental finding on the ultraclean YBCO[3], although quantitative agreement is unlikely due to the significant vortex disorder in the actual sample. Nevertheless, the theory presented here, while strictly limited to the case of weak positional vortex disorder, does suggest that as $\mathbf{u}_{rms}$ increases and becomes of order of the magnetic length $\ell$, the vortex disorder induced density of states continues to scale as $\sqrt{H}$. Since the mean free path is still set by $\ell$, this suggests $H$-independent, albeit vortex disorder dependent, $\kappa_{xx}$.

I wish to thank Dr. A. Melikyan for numerous useful discussions as well as Prof. Z. Tesanovic for critical reading of the manuscript.

[1] G. Volovik, Sov. Phys. JETP **58**, 469 (1993).
[2] M. Franz and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 554 (2000).
[3] R. Hill, C. Lupien, M. Sutherland, E. Boaknin, D. Hawthorn, C. Proust, F. Ronning, L. Taillefer, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 027001 (2004).
[4] Y. Zhang, N. P. Ong, P. W. Anderson, D. A. Bonn, R. Liang, and W. N. Hardy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 890 (2001).
[5] O. Vafek and A. Melikyan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 167005 (2006).
[6] O. Vafek, A. Melikyan, M. Franz, and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 224508 (2001).
[7] A. Melikyan and Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 144501 (2006).
[8] S. H. Simon and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1548 (1997).
[9] L. Marinelli, B. Halperin, and S. Simon, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 3488 (2000).
[10] P. de Gennes, Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys (Perseus Books, 1999).
[11] X. G. Wen and A. Zee, Nuc. Phys. B **316**, 641 (1989).
[12] X. G. Wen and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 235110 (2002).
[13] G. Volovik, (cond-mat/0601372).
[14] R. Jackiw, Phys. Today **25**, 23 (1972).
[15] H. E. Camblong, L. N. Epele, H. Fanchiotti, and C. A. García Canal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 220402 (2001).
[16] O. Vafek, A. Melikyan, and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 134509 (2001).
[17] A. Melikyan and Z. Tešanović, (cond-mat/0605314).
[18] A. C. Durst and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 1270 (2000).